Doppler Weather Radar – Observations, Utility & Limitations









Radar observations – merits, applications & limitations





Dedicated to Prof. D.R. Sikka- an advocate of quality observation and research; This lecture is on a few of my papers which attracted him.

Also, a tribute to Prof T.N. Krishnamurti, FSU who admired my Radar meteorology work & used the Radar rainrate data by way of physical initialization of a numerical model for Thane cyclone.



Topics to be discussed

- Radar observations (& necessity of interpretation of products).
- Variety of applications (Public weather service/ severe weather warnings, Hail stone detection and warning (for Agriculture ?), Aviation, Hydrology,).
- We confine our discussions here to Hydrological application (Rain rate estimation and accumulation over a period of time), as it is relevant to agriculture.
- Physical initialization of NWP model nudging of the latest Radar rain rate using reverse algorithms (reverse Similarity theory, Reverse Cumulus Parametrization) to improve FCST accuracy.

भारत मौसम विज्ञान विमाग

A METEOROLOGICAL DEPAR

• Limitations and necessity of correct interpretation of Radar products.

suresh.imd@gmail.com



Rainfall & Agriculture



Plant and Animal life depend on rainfall.

- **Rainfall is a spatio-temporal variable parameter.**
- In about 40 km radius circle, variability of rainfall from 5 to 150mm is not at all uncommon.
- Unlike SW monsoon, <u>NE monsoon rain is pulsatory and</u> <u>variability is quite high</u>.
- Dense network (2 X 2 km) may be needed for planning purposes
 → practically infeasible → <u>an optimum network of rain gauges</u> has been suggested (say 4km X 4km).
- But this network is also practically impossible in view of
- **1.** High variability of rainfall in a dimension lesser than this grid.
- 2. Problem in locating sites (topographical / terrain constraints).
- 3. Cost constraints.
- 4. Maintenance related problems.
- Radar based rainrate estimation over a fine resolution is a probable solution to address the rainfall variability.





Radar Hydrology

 Radar's ability to see larger areas nearly simultaneously is one of the major advantages of radar technology.

• The problem of inadequate rain gauges can be addressed by Radar hydrology .

• Radar reflectivity (z) – Rain rate (R) relationship [Marshall – Palmer relationship], viz., $z = A R^b$ where 'A' and 'b' are the parameters to be estimated.

• The parameters '*A*' and '*b*' can <u>vary spatially, temporally besides</u> <u>types of precipitation</u> (convective / stratiform etc).

1000s of 'A' and 'b' are available in literature (Battan, 1973; Atlas, 1990; Rinehart, 1999; Raghavan, 2003 to name a few).

• Sample area of radar pulse (approx. 8 * 10⁵ m² at 57 km) is million times larger than that of the rain gauges (3 to 5 *10⁻³ m²).



Radar Hydrology ... contd...

✓ Rain attenuation in S-band is less → useful for rain rate estimation.
 ✓ The X-band radars <u>are unsuitable for rain rate estimation in view of</u> very high rain attenuation.

➔ Desirable to have a number of low powered radars capable of measuring hydrometeors upto 100 km without much rain attenuation (especially over mountainous region).

➔ Such radars commensurate with the cost-effectiveness of millions of rain gauges.

→ Precipitation accumulation over a period of time can be estimated using the z - R relationship.

Limitations : Issues related to calibration of Radar, DSD, rain attenuation, beam filling, Clutters and Anomalous propagation etc to be understood and addressed before using the products.



Validation of *z* – *R* relationship DWR, Chennai

Developed z – R relation z=267R^{1.345} and used in operational set up since 2003 (Suresh et al, 2005, Mausam, p433-446).

- Time integrated (1 to 24 hours) rainrate compared with ground truth (ORG / SRRG / ARG) as a matter of routine till date.
- ✤ 80 to 95% accurate in real world scenario.

| File : 2002110102412415.pac Type : PAC Range: 100.0 km | 01.11.2002 02:41:24 | R/G location | R/G (cm) | Radar (cm) |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| gtpt. | 189.3 - 200.0*) 178.7 - 189.3 168.0 - 178.7 157.3 - 168.0 146.7 - 157.3 | MO, Chennai | 20 | 18.7 – 20.0 |
| | 136.0 - 146.7 125.3 - 136.0 114.7 - 125.3 | ACWC, Chennai | 16 | 11.5 – 14.7 |
| | 104.0 - 114.7 93.3 - 104.0 82.7 - 93.3 72.0 - 92.7 | Tambaram | 14 | 9.3 - 13.3 |
| | CHENNAI PCNT:96 DD/HH:MM: 1/0:0 START/STOP TIME: 31.10.2002/03:00 | Cholavaram | 13 | 12.0 - 13.3 |
| | | RedHills | 12.0 | 8.0 – 9.3 |
| | | Poondi | 4 | 2.7 – 4.0 |
| TEN CAR | CDR Chennai | Thamaraipakkam | 8 | 6.7 – 8.1 |
| | | मारत मौसम विइ | ज्ञान वि | भाग |





Rain accumulation in Poondi (PND) catchments in excess of 80 mm has been detected only by the radar in the absence of rain gauge network. Irrigation/ water management depends on rain data over catchments.

1-2Dec2015 Rain rate estimation z=267R^{1.345}



*



12-13Dec2016 Vardah cyclone Rain rate estimation z=267R^{1.345}



24hrs ending 0300Z/13 Rainfall (cm) Satyabama Univ 38 Kancheepuram 28 **Poonamallee 22** Chembarabakkam 21 Meenambakkam 20 **Sriperumbudur 17 Tambaram 14** Nungambakam 12 Vellore 11 Poondi 9 Mahabalipuram 7 Maduranthagam 7 Vandavasi 7.



30-31Oct2017 Rain rate estimation z=267R^{1.345}



| 03:00 / | 31-Oct-2017 |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| C | hennai |
| | 160.0 mm |
| | • 160.0 mm |
| | • 150.1 mm |
| | • 140.1 mm |
| | ▶130.2 mm |
| | • 120.3 mm |
| | • 110.3 mm |
| | • 100.4 mm |
| | 90.4 mm |
| | 80.5 mm |
| | 70.6 mm |
| | 60.6 mm |
| | 50.7 mm |
| | 40.8 mm |
| | 30.8 mm |
| | 20.9 mm |
| | 10.9 mm |
| | 1.0 mm |
| | |
| | |
| f File: | 100A.pac |
| utter Filter | r: IIRDoppler 8 |
| ne sampl | ing:Variable |
| .F: | 600 Hz / 450 Hz |
| nge: 100 km | |
| COULTIOD | $11 \neq 1 \neq 1/m/m/m/m$ |

Average

145

Num Prod-

Miss Time:

Rainbow® SELEX-SI

Data:

Mon Oct 30

03:00:33 2017

1 d, 0 h, 0 m

0 d, 0 h, 0 m

Radar Data

PAC (dBA)



CGP 70;

Observed 24 hrs ending 0300UTC/31 October2017 rainfall (in mm) at ORG / SRRG / ARG stations are :

- PND 40; TVL 51; PNM 70;
- SRP 130; MO 168; TBM 170;



Radar Hydrology .. Advantages/Limitations

Radar estimation of rainfall is useful in view of its

a) Wide area coverage. Supplements rain gauge measurements.

- b) High spatial and temporal resolution.
- c) Real time measurement.
- ➔ Quite useful for water resources management especially over catchments in the absence of dense R/G network.
- Helps to issue Flash flood forecasting.
- Helps to understand the rainfall variability in microscale.
- ✓ Quite useful for physical initialization of NWP models.

Over estimation from Bright band, Hail stones.

- 62 dBZ = 639 mm/hr ; 60 dBZ = 454 mm/hr
- 58 dBZ = 322 mm/hr ; 56 dBZ = 229 mm/hr

(Suresh et al, 2004, Mausam, p655-670) (Suresh , 2004, IJRSP, p435-447)





Physical initialization of Rain rate in NWP models enhances predictability

Tarbell et al (1981, Mon. Wea. Rev., 77-95 & IAMAP Symp, Hamburg 25-28 August 1981). Krishnamurti et al (1991 Tellus, 51-81 & 1994 ECMWF workshop, 31). Krishnamurti et al (2016, Capital Publishing co., ISBN 978-93-88119-11-7).





Physical initialization & Predictability

Tarbell (1981).

Static initialization (nondivergent initial condition) of model is inaccurate on short term precipitation forecast.

← Several hours to develop consistent vertical velocity field.

Mesoscale moisture field cannot be resolved by U/A data.

- Divergent initialization was proposed [vertical velocity using diabatic term based on rainrate, to compute velocity potential, divergent wind components, finally geopotential (using both div /nondiv wind) to ultimately compute hydrostatic temperature].
- On meso scale, the diabatic term in the omega equation is dominant in regions of precipitation (Radar/Satellite rainrate).
- Physical initialisation an analysis to improve basic state variables using non-conventional data (Radar Rainrate, OLR, condensation heating rate using rain rate) - significantly improved short range FCST.



Thane cyclone, 22:56Z / 29Dec2011

Physical initialization using rainrate was attempted by Krishnamurti et al. (2016).

Landfall around 0200Z/30Dec2011 between Cuddalore and Pondichery. Rainfall 03Z/30Dec. Pondicherry 15cm Kalpakkam 10cm Cuddalore 8cm Chennai 7cm.





Thane cyclone .. Krishnamurti etal(2016)



Newtonian relaxation at each FCST time step.

"1 day forecast of 4"
Heavy rain rate of 4
40mm/hr at 35
Cuddalore during the 30
passage of Thane 30
after the landfall is the 925
impressive aspect of 920
physical 15
initialization".

 Reverse Similarity Theory.

 Reverse Cumulus Parametrization.



Time history of Physical Initialisation and prediction at Cuddalore -Thane cyclone during 03Z/29 to 23Z/30 December 2011.



Contraction of the second seco

Interpretation of Radar echoes – Hail stone





<u>Flare echo</u> ... Three body scatter Spike (TBSS) from Z > 60 dBZ

- (i) Scattering by hydrometeors to the ground.
- (ii) Back scattering from
 - ground to hydrometeors.
- (iii)Scattering back to the antenna.



Winter precipitation over Chennai

January 2003 Radar echoes (~ 2 to 10 mm/hr) during morning and evening hours forced us to learn more about product interpretation.





Winter precipitation over Chennai



In the month of February 25 – 38 dBZ (~ 1 to 12 mm/hr rain rate) was measured continuously during dawn and dusk.
 Echoes seen upto 4° elevation.



Winter precipitation over Chennai



Radar echoes
 revealed that during
 March, the driest
 month, Chennai
 experienced rain in
 the northern and
 southern suburbs.

Why does Chennai
 have water scarcity
 when it receives
 good rain even
 during winter ??





No report of rainfall from Met. office/ public / media over these areas.



Was it raining around Chennai during December 2002 - March 2003 ?



• Radar measurements indicate as if 20-60 cm rainfall received over an area of about 600-1600 km² around Chennai during Jan – Mar2003.

• Had there been such an accumulated rainfall, no water scarcity could have been experienced in Chennai during 2003 !!!.



Results of analysis on enhanced Z during Dec 2002 – March 2003

- No enhancement in reflectivity was observed over Bay of Bengal.
 The enhanced Z was observed during 0530 0700 and during
- The enhanced Z was observed during 0530 0700 and during 1700 – 1900 IST.
- The Z maximum was concentrated mostly over North and South Chennai.
- Spurious estimation from clutters is ruled out as clutter filter of 1 ms⁻¹ notch-width & proper Signal quality index were activated in those scans.
- Reflectivity from pollutants (SPM) hardly worked out to -0.9 to 4dBZ only and nowhere near the 25-30dBZ observed.





Probable cause for enhanced Z, December – March.

The likely origin of enhanced Z was from birds (and possibly from insects).

- > the time of enhanced Z coincides with the activity of birds.
- the activity of 8000 10000 birds over the area and period of study have been confirmed by Chennai based ornithologists and naturalists.
- ➢ Equivalent reflectivity factor (Z_e) based on volume reflectivity(η) [which depends on radar cross sections of scatterers / volume] confirms 8000-10000 migratory birds (Jacanas, Egrets, Cormorants, Herons, black winged Stilts, Rosy Starlings) of various cross sections
 ← as supported by naturalists and bird watchers.
- Computation of C_n² (within one hour after Sunrise and Sunset) shows that these values increase (from that computed earlier using Z as well as from RS/RW) by more than two orders of magnitude due to enhanced reflectivity caused by the migratory birds and insects.





Probable cause for enhanced Z, December – March ...contd

- In the morning (evening), the activities of birds <u>commence</u> during Astronomical twilight (Civil twilight), the <u>peak activity</u> during Civil twilight (beyond Civil twilight but well within Nautical twilight) and cease about 1 - 1½ hours after Sunrise.
- Knowledge of the source and time of clear air reflectivity may help the forecaster to improve his nowcasting capabilities.
- Mere watching radar echoes is NOT sufficient. One should understand the source of echoes.
- DWR is NOT the panacea of the meteorologist's forecasting problems.
- One should know the climatology of the place as well and continuously monitor the products (as is the case with satellite imageries as well) to interpret / understand the intricacies of data and the concept of the products (Rinehart, 1999).

[Suresh et al, 2005, Mausam, p 447-464]



Summary



Radar Hydrology application supplements R/G measurements. Physical initialization of high resolution rain rate improves the short range predictability.

- DWR is an efficient observation tool for nowcasting <u>but NOT a</u> panacea of Meteorologist's problem of weather forecasting.
- Interpretation of DWR products requires experience and vast literature survey.

Murmuration of 4000-5000 Rosy starlings at 0030Z/21.3.2019 over Pulicat lake (Chennai-SHAR) $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$

(The Hindu, Chennai 28.3.2019).





